IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
FA No.561 of 1976

1. Sheolal Singh.
2. Deokinandan Singh, sons of Sri Rangu Singh, decd,
3. Rudra Kishore Narain Singh minor son of Deokinandan Singh and Under his guardianship.
4. Mostt. Malida Kuer widow of Sri Palakdhari Singh,

All residents of village- Mafi, P.S. Warsaliganj, District-Nawadah (Gaya).

……Defendants-Appellants.

-Versus-

1. Srimati Reshmi Devi, widow of Lakshmi Narain Singh, resident of village- Katari, P.S. Sheikhpura, District- Monghyr.

2. Srimati Bijuli Devi wife of Tarkeshwar Singh, resident of village-Oro, P.S. Isua, District- Nawadah.

3. Tara Devi, widow of Bodhi Singh, deceased, resident of village-Mafi, P.S. Warsaliganj, District-Nawadah (Gaya).

……..Plaintiffs- Respondents.

4. Srimati Nunuwati Devi, daughter of Palakdhari Singh and wife of Sri Bhagirath Singh, Tola Ramsen Village-Barhiya, P.S. Barhiya, District-Monghyr.

5. Srimati Savitri Devi, daughter of Palakdhari Singh, decd, and wife of Srichandra Deo Singh, resident of village- Bana Bigha, P.S. Silao, District-Nalanda.

6. Nathmal Jalan son of Chunilal Jalan decd,
7. Madanlal Jalan son of Kishun Lal Jalan, decd,
8. Bishwanath Jalan, son of Sohan Lal Jalan,decd,nos.6 to 8, all by caste Marwari, Agrawala, resident of Warsaliganj, P.S. Warsaliganj, District-Nawadah.

9. Nunu Singh son of Bansi Singh.
10. Ram Ashish Singh,
11. Udit Singh,
12. Naresh Singh sons of Karu Singh, decd,
13. Rambalak Singh son of Mukhi Singh,
14. Parmanand Singh, minor
15. Sagar Singh, minors sons of Karu Singh, under the guardianship of Sri Gurudeo Prasad Sinha, Advocate, NawadahGaya).
    All residents of village-Mafi, P.S. Warsaliganj, District- Nawadah.

……..Defendants- Respondents.

WEB COPY NOT OFFICIAL

23. 23.10.2008. No one appears.

This First Appeal has stood dismissed against the respondent nos. 5 to 15 for non-compliance of the order dated 28.6.1996.

This matter has come-up as to whether the appeal arising out of a partition suit had been dismissed against the other respondents. It appears that the appeal stood dismissed against the respondent nos. 5 to 15.

In view of the aforesaid fact, the entire appeal would stand dismissed against the other respondents as well.

U.K. **(Sheema Ali Khan,J)**

WEB COPY NOT OFFICIAL